


No. 190.

In the Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1921.

BRITISH COLUMBIA MILLS, TUG & BARGE COMPANY, LTD., a corporation,

Petitioner,

vs.

A. W. MYLROIE,

Respondent.

Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

Brief of Respondent

WILLIAM H. GORHAM,
Advocate for Respondent.

652 Colman Building,
Seattle, Washington.

SUBJECT INDEX

PAGE

Statement of Case 1
Trial Court's Findings 4
Appellate Court's Findings 5

Points of Law and Fact 7
First Point—The Towage Contract 8
Second Point—Tug without a proper lookout 10
Facts disclosed by record: 11
(1) Crew of tug 11
(2) Lookout and his station 11
Crowded condition of tug's deck 16
(3) Smoke screen 17
(4) Interruption of vigilance of lookout 18
Third Point—Want of lookout, contributing cause of disaster 21
(1) Burden of proof 21
(2) The evidence 22
(a) Tug's helm put hard over before shackle broke 22
Croft's statement 22
(b) Croft's credibility 24
Opinion of trial court 24
Croft corroborated by Foster 24
Master corroborative of Croft 26
Jar caused by breaking of shackle 28
Condition of shackle corroborative of Croft 28
(c) Bjerre's credibility 29
Mate contradicts Bjerre 29
Testimony regarding officer in command 30
Impeachment of Bjerre 31
(d) Johnson's credibility 32
Fourth Point—Shackle seaworthy, broken by sudden strain caused by abrupt turn of tug without warning 36
(1) Constituent parts of towing device 36
(2) Crystallization of shackle 37
(3) The towing machine 41
(4) The tow was following tug 46
(5) The tug gave no warning of sudden turn 47
(6) Speed of tug 48
Fifth Point—Want of reasonable care, caution and maritime skill on part of tug 49
(1) Distance off Mary Island, 2.50 miles in 18-mile run 49
(a) Error of tug in making course 49
Table One 49
(b) Error in calculating speed 51
Table Two 51
Table Three 52

INDEX—*Continued*

PAGE

(2) Causes of tug getting off course 53
Departure from Tree Point 54
Currents 55
Wind 58
Bad steering 58
(3) Whistling for echoes 59
(4) Turning back on course 60
(5) Heaving lead 62
Petitioner's contention as to findings of trial court, their effect 65
Petitioner's statements of testimony in brief 66
Sixth Point—The exemption clause of towage contract void 75
(1) Under maritime law 75
The Syracuse 81
The Oceanica 86
Tugs not bailees 91
Maritime law extended to Alaska 96
Policy of law, as to tugs, not changed by Harter Act 96
(2) Contract expressly negatives exemption clause 97
(3) Tug a common carrier, under local law of Alaska 102
Seventh Point—Towage contract never attached, account of tug's unseaworthiness, contributing to disaster 119

LIST OF CASES.

Alaska Coml. Co. vs. Williams, 128 Fed. 362, 366 81
Alaska Gold Mg. Co. vs. Abner, 2 Alaska Rep. 611 110
Alexander vs. Greene, 3 Hill 9 79
Alexander vs. Greene, 7 Hill 533 79
Anderson vs. Pac. Coast S. S. Co., 225 U. S. 187, 195 116
Argo S. S. Co. vs. Buffalo S. S. Co., 223 Fed. 581, 588 21
Baltimore, etc., Barge Co. vs. Eastern Coal Co., 195 Fed. 483 97
Baltimore, etc., Barge Co. vs. Towage Co., 159 Fed. 755 58
Baltimore, etc., Barge Co. vs. Towage Co., 170 Fed. 442 58
Bates vs. R. R. Co., 147 Mass 255 87
Brass vs. N. D., 153 U. S. 391, 404, 405 117
Brigham vs. Luckenbach, 140 Fed. 322, 325, 326 ..... 17, 19
Budd vs. N. Y., 143 U. S. 517 116
Bust vs. Cornell Steamboat Co., 24 Fed. 188, 189 92
Chamberlain vs. Ward, 21 How. 548 64
Chi., etc., R. Co. vs. Wallace, 66 Fed. 506 ..... 87, 88
Clark vs. U. S., 95 U. S. 539, 542 ..... 86, 123
Clayton vs. Enterprise Elec. Co., 82 Or. 149 112
Cooley vs. Port Wardens, 12 How. 299 116
Deems vs. Albany & Canal Line, 11 Blatch. 474 83
Doherty vs. Penn. Ry. Co., 269 Fed. 959, 962 92

INDEX—*Continued*

PAGE

Dutcutton vs. The Express, 3 Cliff. 462 76
Eastastern Ddg. Co. vs. Winnisemminet Co., 162 Fed. 860, 862 20
Eastastern Trans. Line vs. Hope, 95 U. S. 297 93
Eastastman vs. Logging Co., 69 Or. 1 111
Elderlderslie S. S. Co. vs. Borthwick, 10 Asp. Mar. Cas., N. S., 24, 26 100
Flintlint, etc., R. Co. vs. Mar. Ins. Co., 71 Fed. 210, 220 21
Frostrost vs. Wenie, 157 U. S. 46, 58 106
Germrman Al. Ins. Co. vs. Lewis, 233 U. S. 389, 414 116
Gouloould vs. Hill, 2 Hill 623 79
Gr. L. Lakes S. S. Co. vs. Pittsburgh S. S. Co., 222 Fed. 862, 866 20
Gr. L. Lakes Towing Co. vs. Amer. Shpbldg. Co., 243 Fed. 849, 852 63
Gr. N. Nor. R. Co. vs. U. S., 208 U. S. 452, 466 106
Hesss vs. McReynolds, 113 U. S. 73, 79 109
Holdelden vs. Minn., 137 U. S. 483, 491 106
Hosmsmer vs. R. R. Co., 156 Mass. 506 87
Hughughes Adm., 123 64
Hughughes Adm., 2nd Ed., 130 76
In re re Moran, 120 Fed. 556, 568 84
Jahnhn vs. S. S. Folmina, 212 U. S. 354, 361 114
Knottott vs. Botany Worsted Mills, 179 U. S. 69 117
Livererpool, etc., S. S. Co. vs. Phoenix Ins. Co., 129 U. S. 397, 440 114
Longng vs. R. R. Co., 130 Fed. 870 ..... 87, 88
Martirtins vs. P. & L. R. Co., 203 U. S. 284, 294 117
McCoCormick vs. Shippy, 119 Fed. 226, 229 ..... 84, 85, 87, 88
McCoCormick vs. Shippy, 124 Fed. 48 87
McWWilliams Bros. vs. Dir. Genl. R. R., 271 Fed. 931, 932 93
Minnn. Rate Cases, 230 U. S. 352, 400, 402, 409 116
Monknk vs. Cornell Stmboat Co., 198 Fed. 472 ..... 84, 89
Morafan, In Re, 120 Fed. 558, 568 84
M. P.P. Doullet & Son vs. Genl. Cons. Co., 221 Fed. 877 85
Munnn vs. Illinois, 94 U. S. 113 116
Mylrdroie vs. Barge & Tug Co., 268 Fed. 449 84
N. J. I. Steam Nav. Co. vs. Merchants Bank, 6 How. 344 ..... 81, 86, 87
N. Y. C. R. R. Co. vs. Lockwood, 17 Wall. 357, 377 ..... 86, 87, 114, 119
Nor. P. Pac. Ry. Co. vs. McFadden, 154 U. S. 155, 160 ..... 92, 96
Pac. M. Mail S. S. Co. Case, 130 Fed. 76 122
Pac. M. Mail S. S. Co. vs. Joliffe, 2 Wall. 450, 459 116
Pederson vs. The Jno. Spreckles Bros. Co., 87 Fed. 938, 944 76
Pilsoon vs. Auto Co., 67 Or. 528 119
Putnaam vs. Wood, 3 Mass. 481, 485 122
Railroad vs. Lockwood, 17 Wall. 357, 377 ..... 86, 87, 114, 119
Robinnson vs. Steam Nav. Co., 73 Fed. 883, 892 20
Rutlaand Trans. Co. vs. Smith Co., 209 Fed. 47, 48 20
Schuyyler vs. Tillyer, 41 Fed. 477 61
S. F. P. & P. Ry. Co. vs. Grant Bros. Cons. Co., 228 U. S. 177 91

	PAGE
Smith vs. E. E. Wood Trans. Co., 103 Fed. 685	113
St John vs. Paine, 10 How. 557, 585	18
Stone vs. Ketland, 1 Wash. C. C., 142	78
Stone vs. The Relampago, 23 Fed. Cas. No. 13486	122
Summers vs. U. S., 231 U. S. 92, 104	110
Sun Pub. Co. vs. Moore, 183 U. S. 642	86
Ten Eyck vs. Dir. Genl. R. R., 267 Fed. 974	85, 89
The American Eagle, 54 Fed. 1013	84
The Ancon, 6 Sawy. 118	20
The Ariadne, 13 Wall. 475, 478, 479	19, 22
The Armstrong, 1 Brown Ad., 130	21, 22, 121
The Caledonia, 157 U. S. 124, 131, 137	99, 121, 123
The Carib Prince, 170 U. S. 655	121
The China, 7 Wall, 53	118
The C. W. Mills, 241 Fed. 204, 205	57, 64
The Cypromene, 135 Fed. 558, 565	21
The Deer, 4 Ben. 352	78
The D. Newcomb, 16 Fed. 274	93, 96
The Dora Allison, 213 Fed. 645, 646	76
The Echo, 131 Fed. 622, 631	21
The Edmund L. Levy, 128 Fed. 683	83
The Edward I. Morrison, 153 U. S. 199, 210	121
The Express, 8 Fed. Cas. No. 4598, Olc. 258	80
The Fri, 154 Fed. 333, 338	87, 88, 91
The Florence, 88 Fed. 302	64
The Genessee Chief, 12 How. 443, 463	22
The Goliah 17 Fed. Cas. No. 10106	78
The Geo. M. Dallas, 10 Fed. Cas. No. 5338	17
The Geo. W. Roby, 111 Fed. 601, 612	20
The Irrawaddy, 171 U. S. 187, 190, 195	121
The Jacob Brandow, 39 Fed. 831, 832	76
The John Fretter, 13 Fed. Cas. No. 7342	21, 22, 121
The John G. Stevens, 170 U. S. 113, 124, 125	77, 90
The Jonty Jenks, 54 Fed. 1023	83
The J. P. Donaldson, 167 U. S. 599, 603, 604	94
The Kate Miller, 13 Fed. Cas. No. 7282	78
The Kensington, 183 U. S. 263	117
The Lady Pike, 21 Wall. 1, 7, 14	113, 122
The Lafayette, 269 Fed. 917, 927	90, 91
The Luckenbach, 23 Fed. 725	48
The Manchioneal, 243 Fed. 801, 805	17
The Margaret, 94 U. S. 494, 496, 497	63, 75
The Marie Palmer, 191 Fed. 79	64
The Mabey vs. Akins, 10 Wall. 419	123
The Merrimac, 2 Sawy. 586	92

INDEX—*Continued*

	PAGE
The Michigan, 63 Fed. 280, 287, 288	16
The Minnie, 100 Fed. 128, 131	21
The M. J. Cummings, 18 Fed. 178	83
The Monarch, 235 Fed. 795, 796, 799	99
The Niagara vs. Cordes, 21 How. 7, 23	114, 122
The Oceanica, 144 Fed. 301	84
The Oceanica, 170 Fed. 893	84, 86, 88, 90, 91
The Oregon, 158 U. S. 186, 193	20
The Ottawa, 8 Wall. 269, 273	17
The Princeton, 19 Fed. Cas. No. 11433a	80, 93
The Queen of the Pacific, 180 U. S. 49	89
The Rebecca, 1 Ware (188), 187	78
The Rescue, 24 Fed. 190	84
The Revenue Cutter No. 1, Brown, Adm. 76	106
The Santissima Trinidad, 7 Wheat. 283, 339	32
The Sitka, 132 Fed. 861, 864	21
The Somers N. Smith, 120 Fed. 569	83
The Syracuse, 6 Blatchf. 2	82
The Syracuse, 14 Fed. Cas. No. 8068	81
The Syracuse, 12 Wall. 167, 171	78, 83, 90
The Temple Emery, 122 Fed. 181	84
The Tillicum, 230 Fed. 415	19
The Vedamore, 137 Fed. 844, 847	17
The W. G. Mason, 142 Fed. 913	83, 90, 91
The Wilhelm, 59 Fed. 169	48
The Wilbur Smith, 217 Fed. 981, 984	20
The William H. Webb, 14 Wall. 406, 414	64, 75, 76
The W. J. Keyser, 56 Fed. 731	76
The U. S. Schooner Watchful, 6 Wall. 91	123
Ulrich vs. The Sunbeam, 24 Fed. Cas. No. 14329	84
Wells vs. Gr. Nor. Ry. Co., 59 Or. 165	119
Wells vs. Steam Nav. Co., 2 Comstock (N. Y.), 204	79, 93
Wells vs. Steam Nav. Co., 8 N. Y. 375	80
Wilder's S. S. Co. vs. Low, 112 Fed. 161, 172	21
Wilson vs. Sibley, 36 Fed. 379	48
Wind River Lumber Co. vs. Ins. Co., 196 Fed. 340	112
Winslow vs. Thompson, 134 Fed. 546	64
Workman vs. Mayor, etc., of N. Y., 179 U. S. 552	103